	UNITED ST	ATES DISTR	ICT COURT U.S. I	FILED DISTRICT COURT	
		District of	NE BRA S	RAOF NEBRASKA	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		2006 JI		
	V. TONY AMPHONEPHONG Defendant	ORDER O Case Num	of DETENTION PENDING ber: 4:04CR3080 OFFIC	REVOCATION HRG. E OF THE CLERK	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude					
that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending revocation hearing in this case.					
Part I—Findings of Fact					
[] (1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.				
	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in				
5 (2)	a felony that was committed after the defendant § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or loca	l offenses.			
(3)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).				
(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.				
□ (1)	Alternative Findings (A) (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense				
	for which a maximum term of imprisonment of to under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			•	
□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.				
Alternative Findings (B)					
	Company of the second of the s				
	D II XV	- 54-4	C 75 4 41		
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a prepon-					
derance of	of the evidence that	at the nearing establish	clear and convincing	g evidence \(\square a prepon-	
	Det tailed to	meet his	burden of	show lug	
	onditions that would	Veasmable	ensure his	appear ance	
f	The safety of the a	mmunity.		0.	
	,				
reasonab Governm	Part III—E defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Gent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sele opportunity for private consultation with defense cent, the person in charge of the corrections facility should be a court proceeding.	ntences or being held in consel. On order of a con-	presentative for confinement in a coustody pending appeal. The desurt of the United States or on re-	fendant shall be afforded a	
Date Signature of Judicial Officer					
David L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Judicial Officer					

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).